Site Name:

Interstate Pollution Control, Inc.

Subject:

**CERCLA Five-Year Review** 

Name:

Howard Caine Me

Date:

August 6, 2015

Title:

Remedial Project Manager

Organization: U.S. EPA Region 5

Address:

77 West Jackson Blvd (SR-6J), Chicago, IL 60604

**Telephone Number: 312 353 9685** 

## **Interview Questions**

## 1. What is your relationship to the site?

I am the Remedial Project Manager with U.S. EPA for this site. U.S. EPA is serving in the support role for this site with Illinois EPA in the lead role.

2. What is your overall impression of the final remedy for the site? Is the remedy functioning as intended?

The remedy appears to be functioning as intended based on the last Five Year Review, however, effective institutional controls need to be put in place.

3. Do you feel well informed about the site's activities and progress?

I do.

4. What effect(s) has the site had on the surrounding community?

The site is located in a primarily industrial area. There is a residential area north of the site.

5. Are you aware of any community concerns regarding the site?

I am not.



6. Are you aware of any events, incidents, or activities at the site such as vandalism, trespassing, or emergency responses from local authorities? If so, please give details.

I am not.

7. Do you have any comments, suggestions, or recommendations regarding the site's ongoing management or operation?

I am concerned with the overgrown vegetation at the site. If left as is, I'm concerned in may affect the cap in the future.

8. What does the monitoring data show? Are there trends that show contaminant levels are increasing or decreasing?

The conclusion from the last Five Year Review was that the concentrations of contaminants were less in the downgradient wells than in the upgradient wells.

9. Are you aware of any changes in the operations and maintenance (O & M) requirements, maintenance schedule, or sampling routine in the last five years? If so, do they affect the protectiveness of the remedy?

I'm not aware of any changes.

10. Have there been unexpected difficulties or costs at the site since the last Five-Year Review? If so, please give details.

This appears to be a question for the PRPs.

11. Have the O & M or sampling efforts been optimized? Please elaborate.

This appears to be a question for the PRPs.

12. Are you aware of any plans to change site usage in the future?

I am not.

13. Do you have any comments, suggestions, or recommendations regarding the site in general?

Again, I'm concerned with the overgrown vegetation and potential impacts on the cap.